Appl. No. 10/526,720 Amdt. Dated March 27, 2007 Reply to Office action of December 29, 2006 Attorney Docket No. P17032-US1 EUS/J/P/07-3113

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figures 1-3.

A Submittal of Drawing Replacement Sheet(s) is being filed concurrently herewith under a separate cover. For your convenience, a copy of that filing is attached.

Attachment: Annotated Sheets of Drawings Showing Changes

Copy of Submittal of Drawing Replacement Sheets

Claim Amendments

The Applicant has amended claims 8, and 11-13. Applicant respectfully submits

no new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 6-14 are pending in the

application. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in

view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicant gratefully acknowledges the allowance of claims 6-7 and 10-11.

Examiner Objections – Drawings

The Drawings were objected to because Figures 1-2 should be labeled with

"PRIOR ART" and each of the rectangular boxes in Figure 1 should contain a

descriptive label so that the function of each rectangular box becomes apparent. A

correction to the drawing is shown on the enclosed sheet. The Examiner's approval of

the drawing change is respectfully requested.

Examiner Objections - Specification

The specification was objected to because there isn't any detail description of

Figure 2 in the Detailed Description of the invention. The Applicant thanks the

Examiner for his careful review of the specification. In response, the Applicant has

amended the specification accordingly. The Examiner's consideration of the

amendments to the specification is respectfully requested.

Information Disclosure Statement by Applicant (IDS)

The cited documents AB. AC and AD from the Information Disclosure Statement

have not been received by the Examiner. The Applicant has enclosed the cited

documents

Page 7 of 9

Appl. No. 10/526,720 Amdt. Dated March 27, 2007 Reply to Office action of December 29, 2006 Attorney Docket No. P17032-US1 EUS/J/P/07-3113

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 8, 9 and 12-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter as the invention. The Applicant has amended the claims according to necessary corrections as noted by the Examiner.

Appl. No. 10/526,720 Amdt. Dated March 27, 2007 Reply to Office action of December 29, 2006 Attorney Docket No. P17032-US1

EUS/J/P/07-3113

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently

pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore,

respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of

Allowance for all pending claims.

The Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions

or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of

the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

By Sidney L. Weatherford Registration No. 45,602

Date: March 27, 2007

Ericsson Inc.

6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11

Plano. Texas 75024

(972) 583-8656

sidney.weatherford@ericsson.com